Kennedy's Role in History: Some Doubts

By ROBERT REINHOLD
Special to The New York Times

CAMBRIDGE, Mass., Nov. 21—However the public may remember John Fitzgerald Kennedy 10 years after his assassination, the scholars who will eventually fix his place in history, are at loggerheads.

While most give him good marks for idealism, strength and style, many are coming to believe that Mr. Kennedy prolonged the cold war, that he was an incomplete politician and that he was the wellhead of a dangerous and unattractive cult of the powerful Presidency.

The brief Administration of the first President to have been born in this century will probably remain forever a frustrating, enigma to historians, a source of endless questions and unsatisfying speculations about what might have been. Whether President Kennedy will be seen as a bright beacon or a slim flicker in the spectrum of human events is something only time will tell.

It will be exactly 10 years tomorrow, Thanksgiving Day, since John Kennedy was killed. Undoubtedly his fortunes, when subjected to the mercurial fashions of historiography, will Continued on Page 46, Column 4



The New York Times/George Tames
President Kennedy in May of 1962

rise and fall as the perceived answers. imperatives of scholars shift with time:

lic and the press by the Ken-stadt of Harvard argues that nedy charm, wit and intellect Mr. Kennedy was well on the nedy charm, wit and intellect, the historians and political scientists whose chore it is to analyze the use and the misuse of power have tended to celebrate him in comparison with his declasse successors. And if hard questioning of the alternatives a drive to protect options

his declassé successors. And if there is anything the scholars are likely to agree on it is that the chief contribution of Mr. Kennedy was in the realm of intangibles — hopes, promises, inspiration, idealism.

It was almost like the Greek plays—he personified the hopes and the goodness, "said Thomas Cronin, a young political scientist at the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions. "It was precisely because he was slain so early that we allow ourselves to indulge in the idea that here was a hopeful sign and a hopeful man who, if he only had the time, could have done the things worth doing."

Wedged between the sleepy Eisenhower years and the dark, divisive Johnson-Nixon years, the Kennedy era has struck

divisive Johnson-Nixon years, Kennedy spirit. the Kennedy era has struck many intellectuals as singumany intellectuals as singularly bright, even though its specific achievements were slim. The President, in the words of Henry Steele Commager and Allan Nevins, "combined galety with dignity, patience with ardor, compassion with courage, and poetry with power."

The criticisms, which come from both left and right, are diverse. But basically Mr. Kendey is diverse. But basically M

power."

Such traits; in the view of James MacGregor Burns, the Williams College historian, instilled in the national psyche the notion that government could be exciting, uplifting and elevating. "I think it is still there to be invoked," he says, referring to the young adults of today who were imbued with the Kennedy geist as children. "Somebody, I think, will complete those years."

But what can be said in a young political scientist who is

Continued From Page 1, Col 2 of the day as seen from hindsight? There are no unequivocal

In a 1969 afterword to his now classic book, "Presidential Seduced as much as the pub-Power," Prof. Richard E. Neu-

'Presidency Mentality'

"Somebody, I think, will complete those years."

But what can be said in a concrete way about the Kennedy Administration? Was it mostly style and little substance? Was Mr. Kennedy an effective leader? Did he use the long run you are not going power well? Did he lead us to the edge of the Vietnam precipice? How does what he stood for stack up against the needs government. The Kennedy peo-

nedy as the victim of what he approach to crisis."

Mr. Cronin views Mr. Ken-with this idea of an ad hoc the Executive."

believes that the weight of historical opinion will stay with Mr. Kennedy. "Honest historians will see wath the was upagainst and the way he prepared the ground in domestic policy in civil rights and poverty," he contends.

Prof. Erwin C. Hargrove of Brown University, author of a forthcoming book on the Presidency, is one of those who faults Mr. Kennedy for advancing the cold war mentality and for pursuing confrontation statesmanship. "He really had no theory of international politics, no coherent world view."

Land International politics in the International politics, no coherent world view.

Even Arthur M. Schlesinger Whether Mr. Kennedy will probably be indeed, with the test of time is problematical. Prof. William Leuchendow, "The Imperial President Presidency in the legacies of the missile crisis "was the imperial conception of the Presidency that brought the large will probably be "swallowed up in history" 50 years from now.

But Professor Hargrove adds that such judgments relate not just to Mr. Kennedy but to basic revisionist thinking about the entire institution of the Presidency. "Did we liberals idealize power too much?" he asked, adding "we did—we had a protical figures."

ple deserve low grades on that." He was really quite imbued history that virtue centered in

"In a sense it is not fair to nedy as the victim of what he calls the "textbook Presidency mentality—that the President could be the wisest person in the nation, the most benevolent, the most omniscient, and could lead us to the Promised Land."

Prof. J. David Barber, of Duke University, author of a psychological study of the Presidency, "The Presidential Character."

believes that the weight of historical opinion will stay with Jr., great defender of the Ken-dynamics."

Approach to crisis."

Like many others, Professor Hargrove has come to see the Cuban missile crisis, generally regarded as a great triumph for Mr. Kennedy and a model of "multiple advocacy" decision-making, as an example of undue dependence on confrontation and military options.

Even Arthur M. Schlesinger Whether Mr. Kennedy will stay with Jr., great defender of the Kenstand the test of time is prob-

Nov. 23, 1963

ENNEDY IS KILDED RYS AS HE RIDES IN CAR IN DALLAS JOHNSON SWORN IN ON PLANE

Nov. 24, 1963

KENNEDY'S BODY LIES IN WHITE HOUSE; JOHNSON AT HELM WITH WIDE BACKING: POLICE SAY PRISONER IS THE ASSASSIN

Nov. 25, 1963

PRESIDENT'S ASSASSIN SHOT TO DEATH IN JAIL CORRIDOR BY A DALLAS CITIZEN: GRIEVING THRONGS VIEW KENNEDY BIER

Nov. 26, 1963

KENNEDY LAID TO REST IN ARLINGTON: HUSHED NATION WATCHES AND GRIEVES: WORLD LEADERS PAY TRIBUTE AT GRAVE

New York Times headlines told the story 10 years ago